

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW B. CRAMER,

NO. CIV. S-01-2193 LKK/GGH PS

Plaintiff,

v.

O R D E R

LANCE KUSHNER, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action, in which he has alleged that defendant Kushner committed copyright infringement. In October 2003, plaintiff moved for voluntary dismissal, which was granted shortly thereafter.


In December 2008, plaintiff moved to reopen the case and vacate the order of dismissal, although with minimal explanation of the basis for the motion. Doc. No. 54. In April, 2009, plaintiff filed a second document requesting the court "dismiss" that filing and stating that he intends to file a new complaint. Doc. No. 57.

The court therefore DENIES plaintiff's Motion to Vacate (Doc.

1 No. 54). The plaintiff is directed to the Local Rules for guidance  
2 as to the filing of a new complaint.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: August 21, 2009.

5  
6   
7 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
8 SENIOR JUDGE  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26